

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/009,504	. (03/28/2002	Garry Royston Fish	10541-824	10541-824 5011	
29074	7590	10/28/2004		EXAMINER		
VISTEON				FLANIGAN, ALLEN J		
C/O BRINK	S HOFER	GILSON & LIONE				
PO BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3753		

DATE MAILED: 10/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
•	10/009,504	FISH, GARRY RO	DYSTON					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Allen J. Flanigan	3753						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommunication of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co	y. ommunication.					
Status			·					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	July 2004.							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	nis action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
 4) Claim(s) 1-13 and 15-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 								
6)⊠ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 15-24</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.							
Application Papers	•							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the leading the correct to be the leading the correct to the leading the l	· · · · · ·		• •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).						
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received in Applicati	on No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	iority documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage					
application from the International Bure								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not receive	:d.						
*		-						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary							
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		D-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/009,504

Art Unit: 3753

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-13 and 15-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The wording of the claims as now presented implies that dimples projecting from the tube wall into the tube "promote laminar flow". This is not true, and contradicts the applicant's own disclosure (see comments below, including footnote taken from the specification).

Claims 1-5, 9, 12, 13, 15-17, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kiser et al.

Please see the comments made in regard to the above rejection in the previous Office action.

Claims 6-8, 10, 18-20, 22, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combined teachings of Kiser et al. and Rhodes.

Please see the comments made in regard to the above rejection in the previous Office action.

Applicant's arguments filed 7/22/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Based on consideration of the applicant's disclosure and the level of ordinary skill in the art, it is clear that the claimed invention is not novel or

Art Unit: 3753

unobvious over Kiser. The description of the function of the dimples provided on the tubes of the applicant's invention, given on page 2 of the specification¹ is clearly a description of turbulent, not laminar, flow. Laminar flow results in the formation of a boundary layer adjacent the tube wall that is at or near the wall temperature, inhibiting heat transfer. This boundary layer remains adjacent the wall unless it is turbulently disrupted towards the center of the tube, away from the wall, by dimples or other turbulence-generating means. What applicant calls "mixing" is, in fact, turbulence, i.e. a disruption of laminar flow to break down the thermal boundary layer and achieve more uniform temperature distribution. What Kiser and applicant are both attempting to do is provide an optimum amount of mixing or turbulence within the tube. Those skilled in the art appreciate that heat exchange is optimized when balance is obtained between mixing and turbulence to enhance convective heat transfer and minimizing pressure drop caused by such turbulent mixing. acknowledges the need to provide such balance (see, for example, line 64 column 4 to line 11 of column 5), as does applicant (bridging paragraph of pps. 2-3 of the specification).

Even assuming arguendo that applicant's "mixing" is, in fact, a fundamentally different phenomenon from the "turbulence" discussed in Kiser, it is clear that Kiser's tube is inherently capable of meeting the claim language

¹ "Mixing means that coolant which at one moment is in contact with the tube wall moves from that position into the centre of the tube, and vice versa, this process taking place continuously to encourage uniform temperature distribution throughout the coolant."

Application/Control Number: 10/009,504

Art Unit: 3753

of "promoting" resumption of laminar flow downstream of the dimples in view of the fact that the structure of Kiser, and the suggested spacings of the dimples, is within the range claimed by applicants. Applicant's disclosure indicates that the claimed resumption of laminar flow is due to appropriate spacing and height of the claimed dimples; since Kiser suggests dimple height and spacing values that correspond to the claimed values, it is reasonable to assume that the tube of Kiser inherently possesses the same balance of turbulence and pressure drop characteristics as the claimed invention. See MPEP 2112 regarding inherency.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen J. Flanigan whose telephone

Art Unit: 3753

number is (703) 308-1015. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Scherbel can be reached on (703) 308-1272. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Allen J. Flanigan Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3753

AJF